

542 Spring Flat Road, Mudgee - dwelling on zone RU1 Primary Production land			
Proposal Title :	542 Spring Flat Road, Mudgee -	dwelling on zone RU1 Prir	nary Production land
Proposal Summary ;	Council is seeking to permit a d Spring Flat Road Mudgee.	welling house on Lots 74, ′	147 and 148 DP 756894 (25.48ha)
PP Number :	PP_2015_MIDWR_006_00	Dop File No	15/16212
Proposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	26-Nov-2015	LGA covered :	Mid-Western Regional
Region :	Western	RPA :	Mid-Western Regional Council
State Electorate :	ORANGE	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
Location Details		ж	
Street :			
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :
Land Parcel : Lot	ts 74, 147 and 148 DP 756894, 542 S	Spring Flat Road Mudgee	
DoP Planning Offic	cer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Megan Jones		
Contact Number :	0268414180		
Contact Email :	megan.jones@planning.nsw.gov.	au	
RPA Contact Deta	ils		
Contact Name :	Mark Lyndon		
Contact Number :	0263782850		
Contact Email :	Mark.Lyndon@midwestern.nsw.g	ov.au	
DoP Project Manag	ger Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Wayne Garnsey		
Contact Number :	0268412180		
Contact Email :	wayne.garnsey@planning.nsw.go	v.au	
Land Release Data	a v		
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy	

,			
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	1
Gross Floor Area	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :	No known meetings have been he	ld with lobbyists.	
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	Council is seeking to permit/reins subject land that is 25ha which is		
	Council proposes to use Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Use provisions. It is being recommended that the best mechanism to achieve Councils outcome is to change the minimum lot size map to 20 ha over all of the subject parcels. The land has history of having a dwelling house approved on it. The land is adjacent to rural living land in an approved strategy. The settlement pattern indicates that a dwelling house is not out of place on this land.		
	Council should further strategical regard to the land use in the area	-	
	Council is seeking plan making d	elegation and this is accept	table in this case.
	Council was asked (5 November 2 Petroleum Production and Extrac not included as part of original pl incomplete. An initial response w adequate (in response to s117 Dir adequate response was received the subject land is not encumbere allocations.	tive Industries & 6.3 Site Sp anning proposal, therefore vas received on 17 Novemb r. 1.3) and Council was aske on 26 November 2015 whic	pecific Provisions which were the planning proposal was er 2015, however it was not ed to revise. A subsequent, h includes a map indicating
External Supporting Notes :			
Adequacy Assessmen	t		
Statement of the ob	jectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ob	jectives provided? Yes		
Comment S	The planning proposal seeks to Production zone as a Schedule combined area of lots 74,147 a	e 1 additional permitted use	

#### Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

The planning proposal proposes to identify the land in Schedule 1 of the LEP to allow a dwelling house as an additional permitted use. Instead it is recommended that the most appropriate mechanism to achieve the intended outcome is to apply a 20ha MLS over all of the subject land.

#### Justification - s55 (2)(c)

Comment :

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

\* May need the Director General's agreement

1.2 Rural Zones

1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries

- 1.5 Rural Lands
- 2.3 Heritage Conservation
- 3.1 Residential Zones
- 3.3 Home Occupations
- 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 6.3 Site Specific Provisions

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008 SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

The planning proposal is inconsistent with the following section 117 Directions:

1.2 Rural Zones, 1.5 Rural Lands and SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008: The Ministerial Directions are relevant as the planning proposal affects land in the rural zone by permitting a dwelling house on land that does not have a dwelling entitlement under the Mid Western Regional LEP 2012. The Directions require that the land is not amended from a rural zone to a residential zone and not contain provisions that increase the permissible density of the land. The planning proposal is inconsistent with these Directions. The land is identified in the endorsed Mid-Western Regional Comprehensive Land Use Strategy 2010 as being located between short and long term opportunity areas for rural lifestyle development. The subject land is not identified as being within a residential release area in the endorsed Mudgee and Gulgong Urban Release Strategy 2014. Having regard to the strategy, history of the land and the surrounding land use pattern the Acting General Manager can be satisfied that the inconsistency with this Direction is of minor significance.

1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries: The Ministerial Direction is relevant as the planning proposal seeks to permit a dwelling on rural land. The mechanism to achieve this is to retain zone RU1 Primary Production and to change the lot size to 20ha. Mining and extractive industries will still be permitted but will be restricted a proposed new dwelling house. The land is not identified as a major resource land and is located between short and long term large lot residential opportunity areas. In addition having regard to history of the land and settlement pattern in this locality the Acting General Manager can be satisfied that the inconsistency in this case is of minor significance.

The planning proposal is consistent with the following relevant section 117 Directions; 2.3 Heritage conservation, 3.1 Housing Infrastructure and Urban Development, Environment Protection Management; 3.3 Home occupations; 4.4 Planning for bushfire Protection ( the land is not identified as being affected by bushfire) and 6.3 Site Specific Provisions.

	SEPP 55 Remediation of Land: The past land uses have been considered and identified that there are no known potential contaminants which would prevent future development of the site. There is no further work required for this matter as part of the planning proposal. Noting a dwelling house has previously been approved on the land.
Have inconsistencies w	ith items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain	
Mapping Provided -	s55(2)(d)
Is mapping provided? Y	/es
Comment :	Acceptable locality maps are provided
	Council will need to prepare a new LEP lot size map/s identifying the land with a revised 20ha MLS inaccordance with the Gateway conditions. This mapping will need to in accordance with the technical requirements for LEP maps and provided at section 59 stage.
Community consult	ation - s55(2)(e)
Has community consult	ation been proposed? Yes
Comment :	Community consultations is to be undertaken for 28 days. No consultation with agencies is required.
Additional Director	General's requirements
Are there any additional	Director General's requirements? No
If Yes, reasons :	
11 103, 10330113 .	
Overall adequacy of	the proposal
Overall adequacy of	t the adequacy criteria? <b>Yes</b>
Overall adequacy of	
Dverall adequacy of Does the proposal meet	t the adequacy criteria? Yes However it is recommended that instead of utilising Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Use the land should be identified with a 20ha MLS.
Dverall adequacy of Does the proposal meet If No, comment :	t the adequacy criteria? Yes However it is recommended that instead of utilising Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Use the land should be identified with a 20ha MLS.
Dverall adequacy of Does the proposal meet If No, comment a pposal Assessment Principal LEP:	t the adequacy criteria? Yes However it is recommended that instead of utilising Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Use the land should be identified with a 20ha MLS.
Dverall adequacy of Does the proposal meet If No, comment : pposal Assessment	t the adequacy criteria? Yes However it is recommended that instead of utilising Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Use the land should be identified with a 20ha MLS.
Dverall adequacy of Does the proposal meet If No, comment Doposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : August 2012 Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	t the adequacy criteria? Yes However it is recommended that instead of utilising Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Use the land should be identified with a 20ha MLS. 2 Mid Western LEP 2012 was notified 10 August 2012.
Dverall adequacy of Does the proposal meet If No, comment poposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : August 2012 Comments in relation	t the adequacy criteria? Yes However it is recommended that instead of utilising Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Use the land should be identified with a 20ha MLS. 2 Mid Western LEP 2012 was notified 10 August 2012.

Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The subject land is not specifically identified for large lot living but falls between areas identified as short and long term rural lifestyle opportunities in the endorsed Mid Western Comprehensive Land Use Strategy 2010. The land is not identified for residential land release in the endorsed Mudgee and Gulgong Urban Release Strategy 2014. In this area there is a pattern of lifestyle development with about 20 dwellings in this area, including on land not identified for future rural lifestyle development. Having regard to the history of the land and the surrounding development pattern the proposal has merit however Council should strategically review this area.
Environmental social economic impacts :	The land is identified as being groundwater vulnerable however with a total land area of 25 ha is adequate to accommodate groundwater mitigation methods and water supply at the development application stage. There are no other known environmental constraints. Previous use of the land as farmland has been adequately addressed by Council in consideration of SEPP 55 - Remediation of land which is sufficient for the rezoning of the land. Further detailed investigations can be required by Council at future development application stage. Noting that a dwelling house has already been approved by Council to be erected on the land.

### **Assessment Process**

Proposal type 🛛	Consistent	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months	Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	)		
Is Public Hearing by th	ne PAC required?	No	
(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? Yes		Yes	
If no, provide reasons	:		
Resubmission - s56(2)	)(b) : <b>No</b>		
If Yes, reasons :			
Identify any additional	studies, if required.		
If Other, provide reaso	ns :	÷	
Identify any internal co	onsultations, if required	а 1:	
No internal consultati	ion required		
Is the provision and fur	nding of state infrastru	cture relevant to this plan? No	
If Yes, reasons :			
cuments			
Document File Name		DocumentType N	ame Is Public

## Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	<ul> <li>1.2 Rural Zones</li> <li>1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries</li> <li>1.5 Rural Lands</li> <li>2.3 Heritage Conservation</li> <li>3.1 Residential Zones</li> <li>3.3 Home Occupations</li> <li>4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection</li> <li>6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements</li> <li>6.3 Site Specific Provisions</li> </ul>
Additional Information	It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed subject to the following conditions:
	(1) Prior to community consultation Council is to amend the planning proposal to apply a 20 hectare minimum lot size over Lots 74, 147 and 148 DP 756894 (inclusive) and amend the relevant LEP map/s and supporting exhibition material to reflect this as the mechanism to permit a dwelling house on the land. This amended proposal is to submitted to the Department of Planning and Environment for approval.
	(2) Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 as follows:
	(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days; and
	(b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals in section 5.5.2 of 'A Guide to Preparing LEPs' (Department of Planning and Infrastructure 2013).
	No consultation is required with agencies.
	(3) A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.
	(4) Prior to submission of the planning proposal under Section 59 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, the LEP maps must be prepared and be compliant with the Department's 'Standard Technical Requirements for LEP maps'.
	(5) The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
Supporting Reasons :	Having regard to the history of the land and surrounding land use pattern the proposal should proceed subject to the conditional Gateway determination. Council should strategically review this land and surrounding land.
Signature:	Wyansey
Printed Name:	Whansey Date: 3/12/15